

**Electric Field Swing Adsorption for Carbon Capture Applications** Cong Liu, Nina K. Finamore, Berenika A. Kokoszka, David T. Moore<sup>\*</sup>, Kai Landskron<sup>\*</sup> Department of Chemistry, Lehigh University, Bethlehem, PA 18015



\* Co-principal investigators

#### <u>Concept Summary</u>

- Electric field swing adsorption (EFSA) involves using DC electric bias to modify adsorption of CO<sub>2</sub> on electrically conducting high-surface area carbon (HSAC)
- Sorbent can be switched "in-place" between adsorption and desorption modes by switching electric bias on and off

#### <u>Specific Approach: Electric Field Driven Ion Sweeping (EFDIS)</u>

- EFDIS uses mobile ions to reversibly change interaction of CO<sub>2</sub> molecules with sorbent
- HSAC material combined with liquid or solid electrolyte to form electric double layer capacitor with 5-25 F/g capacitance
- In discharged (field off) state, HSAC nanopores yield high adsorptivity for CO<sub>2</sub> (~40 std.  $cm^3/g$  at room temp.)

### Key Transformational Advantages

- **Simple:** EFSA enables gas separation and capture using electric fields to change thermodynamics of adsorption
- **Reversible:** Switching between ad-/desorption achieved by reversing field  $\rightarrow$  removes need to transport or heat sorbent materials
- Efficient: Electrical current used during charging is partially regenerated in discharging  $\rightarrow$  well-suited for low-parasitic load CO<sub>2</sub> capture technology

Nanoporous material



- In charged (field on) state, ions move from electrolyte into nanopores to form electric double layer
- Two effects possible in principle:
  - ions displace CO<sub>2</sub> from pores (sweeping)
  - electrostatic ion-molecule interaction with surface ions increases adsorption (enhancement)
- Direction of effect may depend on electrode character (anode vs. cathode)
- Both effects observed experimentally in preliminary results (see below)

# in contact with electrolyte reservoi CO<sub>2</sub> added to system Nanopores in high magnification

#### **Experimental Characterization: Static pressure method**

- Electrodes w/electrolyte sealed in pressure cell filled w/~1 atm. gas for several hours
- Electrical potential (1.0 V) switched on/off with 20-60 min duty cycle
- Changes in amount of adsorbed gas reflected as pressure changes(per ideal gas equation)
- Comparison between pressure data with CO<sub>2</sub> vs. non-adsorbing gas (helium) isolate effects due specifically to adsorption changes

 $\Delta P = \Delta n (RT/V)$ 

## Principal Technical Targets

- Magnitude: Generate sufficient change in adsorbed CO<sub>2</sub> between charged and uncharged states for utility in carbon capture (> 20%)
- Selectivity: Field-induced effect for  $CO_2$  must dominate of that for  $N_2/H_2O$
- Scalability: Technology must be suitable for large-scale application

# **Ionic Liquid Electrolyte Implementation**



- HSAC film • 0.106g electrode w/separator in coil configuration
- Electrodes & separator soaked w/non-volatile ionic liquid (EMIM FAP)
- Gas volume 118mL
- Capacitance ~25 F/g
- Electrical bias 1.5 V

#### Results





• Bias on (1.5 V)

# **Aqueous Electrolyte Implementation**

#### **Experimental Details**

- Water-compatible electrode material (BPL carbon w/13% pVDF binder)
- Thin film (50-100 mg) & pressed pellet (120-200 mg) electrodes investigated
- Electrodes immersed half-way in saltwater electrolyte (1 M NaCl)
- Gas volume 60 mL
- Capacitance ~6-9 F/g
- Electrical bias 1.0V







- CO<sub>2</sub> pressure responds to changes in voltage, while helium does not
- Experiments with different pairs of pellets show opposite trends (sweeping vs. enhancement)
- Experiment with thin film shows only enhancement



- clear, repeatable changes in CO<sub>2</sub> pressure between charged and discharged states
- spikes immediately following bias changes attributed to temperature jumps due to resistive heating
- control experiment w/helium shows only thermal features



#### **Conclusions & Future directions**

- Field-induced enhancement of CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption by 1.0-1.2% vs. uncharged state
- Calculation does not account for pores blocked by electrolyte  $\rightarrow$  true value may be significantly larger
- Future efforts include:
  - Improving gas access to micropores
  - -Optimizing electrolyte (different ionic liquids, small ion dopants)



#### Possible competitive effects between anode & cathode (?)

## **Conclusions & Future directions**

- Field-induced changes in CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption of =/-0.9-1.1%
- Calculation does not account for pores blocked by electrolyte
- Future efforts include:
  - -Improving gas access to micropores
  - -Varying salt concentration and immersion depth
  - -Using electrolyte-soaked separator as in ionic liquid case
  - -Test anode/cathode separately to assess possible competitive effects